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## Introduction

Antibody drug conjugates (ADCs) are monoclonal antibodies covalently conjugated to cytotoxic drugs through either lysine or cysteine residues (Figure 1). The antibody brings specificity to drug delivery by binding to epitopes on the surface of cells responsible for a disease state (for example, cancer). Once the ADC reaches its target, the conjugated drug is released creating a high local concentration of cytotoxic drug. ADCs are gaining market share due to their potential for improved efficacy and reduced side effects ${ }^{1,2}$. Due to the nature of the conjugation chemistry, ADCs are a mixture of antibodies that are conjugated to varying amounts of a drug. The drug to antibody ratio (DAR) is an important quality attribute of an ADC, as the DAR can significantly affect the efficacy of the $A D C^{3}$; low drug loading reduces potency while high drug loading can negatively affect pharmacokinetics. This study describes the determination of the DAR for both intact and reduced lysine conjugated ADCs using state-of-the-art liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry and easy-to-use data analysis software.


Figure 1. Schematic diagram of an ADC.

## Experimental

## Materials

PNGase F was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Rapid PNGase F was purchased from New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA). All other Chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

## Workflow

To characterize the ADC and its subunits, the workflow shown in Figure 2 was followed. The workflow consisted of preparing glycosylated and deglycosylated ADCs in their intact and reduced forms and analyzing the samples with an Agilent 1290 Infinity LC coupled with an Agilent 6550 iFunnel Q-TOF LC/MS System. The resulting spectra was deconvoluted, and DAR calculations were performed with Agilent MassHunter BioConfirm Software and the Agilent DAR calculator, which rapidly and easily integrates all DAR peaks with minimal user input and reports final DAR values.

## Sample preparation

Lyophilized ADCs were reconstituted in deionized (DI) water to $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, aliquoted, and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until used.

For the intact ADC analysis, the reconstituted ADCs were diluted in $0.1 \%$ formic acid in water to $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, just before the LC/MS analysis. Deglycosylation of the intact mAb was performed by adding $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 50 unit/ $\mu \mathrm{L}$ PNGase F (Sigma-Aldrich) in 20 mM Tris-HCI buffer pH 8.2 to $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ADC $(100 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ and then incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Five microliters of intact ADC was subjected to LC/MS analysis.

For reduced ADC analysis, ADCs were reduced by adding $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of freshly dissolved dithiothreitol (DTT) ( 35 mM ), $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 10 mM Tris buffer ( $\mathrm{pH}=7.5$ ) to a $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}(5 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ aliquot of ADCs followed by incubation at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. For the reduced deglycosylated ADCs, ADCs were deglycosylated by adding $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of freshly dissolved DTT ( 35 mM ), $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of PNGase F reagent (1:40 from original,

New England Biolabs) in 10 mM Tris buffer ( $\mathrm{pH}=7.5$ ), to a $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}(5 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ aliquot of ADCs followed by incubation at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. One microgram of each sample was subjected to LC/MS for analysis.

## LC/MS Analyses

Instrumentation

## LC/MS system

Agilent 1290 Infinity LC system including:

- Agilent 1290 Infinity Binary Pump G4220A
- Agilent 1290 Infinity TCC G1316C
- Agilent 1290 Infinity Sampler G4226A
- Agilent 1290 Infinity FC/ALSTherm G1330B

Agilent 6550 iFunnel Q-TOF LC/MS System including an Agilent Jet Stream ion source


Figure 2. Workflow for characterization of an ADC.

## LC/MS parameters

| Parameter | Agilent 1290 Infinity LC System |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Intact | Reduced |
| Column | Agilent Poroshell 300SB-C8, <br> $2.1 \times 75 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{n} 660750-906)$ | Agilent PLRP-S 1000A <br> $2.1 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (PL1912-3802) |
| Sample thermostat | $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Mobile phase A | $0.1 \%$ formic acid in water | $0.1 \%$ formic acid in water |
| Mobile phase B | 70 \% IPA/20 \% ACN/ <br> $10 \%$ water with $0.1 \%$ formic acid | 99.9 \% ACN with 0.1 \% formic acid |
| Gradient (segmented) | At 0 minutes $\rightarrow 15 \%$ B <br> At 4 minutes $\rightarrow 20$ \%B <br> At 5 minutes $\rightarrow 75 \%$ B <br> At 10 minutes $\rightarrow 100$ \%B <br> At 10.1 minutes $\rightarrow 15 \% B$ | At 0 minutes $\rightarrow 20$ \%B <br> At 5 minutes $\rightarrow 20$ \%B <br> At 6 minutes $\rightarrow 75$ \%B <br> At 10 minutes $\rightarrow 90$ \%B |
| Post time | 4 minutes | 0 minutes |
| Column temperature | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Flow rate | $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ | $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| Parameter | Agilent 6550 0-TOF LC/MS System |  |
|  | Intact | Reduced |
| Ion mode | Positive ion mode | Positive ion mode |
| Source | Agilent Dual Jet Stream | Agilent Dual Jet Stream |
| Drying gas temperature | $290^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $290{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Drying gas flow | $14 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ | $14 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| Sheath gas temperature | $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $400{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Sheath gas flow | $12 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ | $12 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| Nebulizer | 45 psi | 40 psi |
| Capillary voltage | 5,500 V | 4,500 V |
| Nozzle | $2,000 \mathrm{~V}$ | 1,500 V |
| Fragmentor voltage | 400 V | 250 V |
| Oct RF Vpp | 750 V | 750 V |
| Acquisition parameters MS mode | Data were acquired at 2 GHz , MS only mode, mass range $2,000-5,000 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$. | Data were acquired at High ( $10,000 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) mass range, 2 GHz , MS only mode, mass range $800-4,000 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ |
| Data analysis | The data obtained from LC/MS were analyzed using Agilent MassHunter Qualitative Analysis Software with BioConfirm Software. Deconvoluted spectrum of mAb was obtained using a Maximum Entropy deconvolution algorithm, and exported as a .csv file for DAR ratio calculation using the Agilent DAR calculator. |  |

## Results and Discussion

## Intact ADC analysis

Figure 3 shows the LC/MS analysis of intact ADC and the deglycosylated form of intact ADC. Total ion chromatogram with narrow peak width is achieved using IPA/ACN/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solvent on an Agilent Poroshell 300 SB-C8 column (panels A and D). Figure 3 also shows the charge state distribution envelope for both intact ADC and its deglycosylated form (panels B and E). The deconvoluted spectrum is shown in panel C .

The intact MS results showed that the ADC mass spectrum under study is heterogeneous with different populations of ADCs due to various glycosylation and drug loading. Due to this heterogeneity, the deconvoluted spectrum of intact ADCs is complex (Figure 3 panel C). To simplify the deconvoluted spectrum, the ADC was deglycosylated. The deglycosylated mass spectrum showed well resolved charge states which suggested the removal of the glycans from ADC leaving only the covalently attached drug molecules (Figure 3 panel F).

The deconvoluted spectrum showed eight major drug attachments (Figure 3 panel F). The DAR was calculated using the Agilent DAR calculator that automatically combines peak integration, and the calculation of DAR with minimal user intervention. Figure 4 shows the DAR calculator with the deglycosylated ADC.

The DAR calculator:

- Imports deconvoluted spectrum from BioConfirm Software
- Automatically picks peaks of different DAR values
- Calculates the relative peak areas of each DAR species
- Calculates an average DAR value and
- Reports out the results

Manual adjustment of the peaks to analyze is easily accomplished through the simple user interphase. The DAR calculator uses the following formula:
$D A R=\Sigma$ (relative peak area $\times$ number of loaded drugs)/100

The DAR values were calculated using the relative peak area (\%) of each peak and the corresponding number of drugs loaded from the deconvoluted spectrum. Then multiplying the relative peak area (\%) by the corresponding number of loaded drugs to give weighted peak percentage, which measures the contribution of individual drug loaded species to the DAR (Figure 4). Manually calculated DAR and the DAR calculator calculated DAR are in complete agreement suggesting the reliability of the software. Figure 5 shows the DAR calculation for the intact ADC using the DAR calculator, which was difficult to interpret manually. The DAR calculator is a very useful tool to interpret and calculate the DAR in complex ADC samples.


Figure 3. Total ion chromatogram (TIC), mass spectrum, and deconvoluted spectrum for intact (panels A, B, C) and deglycosylated ADC (panels D, E, F).


Figure 4. Calculation of the deglycosylated ADC using the Agilent DAR calculator. The DAR value of 3.4 is shown in the upper right of the deconvoluted spectrum.


Figure 5. Calculation of the intact glycosylated ADC using the Agilent DAR calculator. The DAR value of 3.6 is shown in the upper right of the deconvoluted spectrum.

## Reduced ADC Analyses

Figure 6 shows the LC/MS analyses of reduced glycosylated and reduced deglycosylated ADCs. The reduced ADCs consists of light and heavy chain drug conjugates with light chain DO eluting out first and heavy chain D4 eluting out last (Figure 6 panels $A$ and E). The mass envelope was between 600 and 3,500 (Figure 6 panels B and F). After deconvolution, four light chain species D0, D1, D2, and D3, and five heavy chain species D0, D1, D2, D3, and D4 were resolved (Figure 6 panels C, D, G, and H). Deglycosylation simplified the spectra of the heavy chain leaving a single dominant deglycosylated form
of the ADC conjugated with different number of drugs, leading to higher signal intensity of each peak (Figure 6 panels D and H ). Deglycosylation did not affect the deconvoluted mass spectra of the light chain associated drug conjugates (Figure 6 panels $C$ and $G$ ), as the light chains are not N -glycosylated.

The exported deconvoluted spectra for both the light and heavy chain of the glycosylated and deglycosylated ADCs were subsequently loaded into the DAR calculator, where the peaks were labelled and integrated. The DAR ratio of the heavy and the light chain were calculated respectively with a combined DAR ratio reported.

## Combined DAR =

$2 \times$ (Heavy Chain DAR + Light Chain DAR)
Figure 7 panels $A$ and $B$ show a representative report generated by the DAR calculator, including annotated deconvoluted spectra, the DAR ratio of the light and heavy chain respectively, the combined DAR ratio, and tables showing the theoretical and observed mass, peak area, and peak area percentage of ADCs carrying different number of drugs were reported. Table 1 shows the theoretical and observed mass, peak area, and peak area percentage of the reduced and deglycosylated ADCs carrying different number of drugs.


Figure 6. TIC, mass spectrum, and deconvoluted spectra for light and heavy chain of the reduced glycosylated (panels A-D) and deglycosylated ADC (panels E-H).


Figure 7A. A report (page 1) from the Agilent DAR calculator of a reduced glycosylated ADC.


Figure 7B. A report (page 2) from the Agilent DAR calculator of a reduced glycosylated ADC.

## Conclusions

The reversed phase analysis of an ADC using an Agilent 1290 Infinity LC system coupled to an Agilent 6550 iFunnel 0-TOF with Agilent LC columns can be extensively used to reproducibly generate accurate DAR values on both intact and reduced ADCs with excellent performance.

Agilent MassHunter BioConfirm Software and DAR calculator can be used together to provide efficient data extraction, deconvolution, and an easy and intuitive approach to calculate and report DAR values for both intact and reduced ADCs.

Table 1. DAR peak list of a reduced deglycosylated ADC from Agilent DAR calculator.

| DAR Peak | Theoretical <br> mass (Da) | Observed <br> mass (Da) | Area | \% Area |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Heavy chain results |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 49148.65 | 49149.9 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}+006$ | 31.87 |
| 1 | 50106.15 | 50107.7 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+006$ | 32.34 |
| 2 | 51063.65 | 51065.5 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}+006$ | 24.00 |
| 3 | 52021.15 | 52023 | $4.29 \mathrm{E}+005$ | 9.86 |
| 4 | 52978.65 | 52980.9 | $8.43 \mathrm{E}+004$ | 1.94 |
| Light chain results |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 23439.1 | 23439.3 | $1.70 \mathrm{E}+006$ | 70.48 |
| 1 | 24396.6 | 24396.8 | $4.57 \mathrm{E}+005$ | 18.91 |
| 2 | 25354.1 | 25354.2 | $2.19 \mathrm{E}+005$ | 9.08 |
| 3 | 26311.6 | 26311.2 | $3.71 \mathrm{E}+004$ | 1.53 |
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